

University of Wollongong  
**Research Online**

---

Sydney Business School - Papers

Faculty of Business and Law

---

2011

## Challenges of the coaching manager

Grace McCarthy  
*University of Wollongong, [gracemc@uow.edu.au](mailto:gracemc@uow.edu.au)*

Julia Ahrens  
[jahrens@uow.edu.au](mailto:jahrens@uow.edu.au)

Follow this and additional works at: <https://ro.uow.edu.au/gsbpapers>

 Part of the [Business Commons](#)

---

### Recommended Citation

McCarthy, Grace and Ahrens, Julia: Challenges of the coaching manager 2011.  
<https://ro.uow.edu.au/gsbpapers/251>

Research Online is the open access institutional repository for the University of Wollongong. For further information contact the UOW Library: [research-pubs@uow.edu.au](mailto:research-pubs@uow.edu.au)

---

## Challenges of the coaching manager

### Abstract

This paper explores the growing practice of managerial coaching. Much of the coaching literature is set in the context of an external coach coming into an organisation. However managers are increasingly being expected to coach their employees, a change in role which can create tensions. This paper examines the literature on coaching managers and identifies key differences in the issues faced by coaching managers and by internal/external coaches. The same coach training is often provided to both full-time coaches and coaching managers. The paper also discusses implications for coach training.

### Keywords

challenges, coaching, manager

### Disciplines

Business

### Publication Details

McCarthy, G. & Ahrens, J. (2011). Challenges of the coaching manager. 25th Annual Australia New Zealand Academy of Management Conference (ANZAM 2011) (pp. 1-1). New Zealand: Anzam.

## Challenges of the Coaching Manager

**ABSTRACT** *This paper explores the growing practice of managerial coaching. Much of the coaching literature is set in the context of an external coach coming into an organisation. However managers are increasingly being expected to coach their employees, a change in role which can create tensions. This paper examines the literature on coaching managers and identifies key differences in the issues faced by coaching managers and by internal/external coaches. The same coach training is often provided to both full-time coaches and coaching managers. The paper also discusses implications for coach training.*

**Keywords:** coaching, management competencies, leadership development, skills development, communication, trust

The popularity of coaching is increasing worldwide, both in industry and in academe (Bresser 2010; Lebihan 2011 ). In the UK, a huge 90% of respondents to the CIPD annual surveys claim coaching is taking place in their organisations, with line managers bearing the main responsibility for coaching in 37% of organisations (CIPD 2009). Companies increasingly state an expectation that managers will coach their employees, with research confirming positive correlations between coaching and employee satisfaction, individual performance and organisational goals (Ellinger, Ellinger, Bachrach, Wang and Bas 2011; Wheeler 2011). Recent writing on leadership suggests that coaching is linked with effective management behaviours and offers a way for managers to implement leadership theories (Longenecker and Neubert 2005; Anderson, Rayner and Schyns 2009; Hagen 2010; Kinicki, Jacobson, Galvin and Prussia 2011). Research has also identified specific coaching behaviours as desirable in leaders, e.g.:

- Using listening skills and communication to involve others, setting clear performance expectations, self-awareness, (Sparks and Gentry 2008)
- Subordinates having regular conversations with leaders or coaches where individual and organisational goals are discussed, leaders give constructive feedback, both positive and negative, and leaders reflect on their own leadership practices (Larsson and Vinberg 2010)

Coaching is clearly a feature of workplaces of the future. However, much of the research relating to coaching is in the context of the external coach who comes into an organisation to coach individual executives. Less is known about internal coaches, coaching employees who are not their direct reports, and even less of the coaching manager. The research which does exist is published in a broad

range of disciplines, both in dedicated coaching journals and in general management, HR, training, learning and development journals, making it difficult for the coaching manager to get an overview. The role of the coaching manager is, according to Cox, Bachkirova and Clutterbuck, 'the most difficult and controversial coaching role' (2010:3) and the most problematic according to Bresser (2011). The significance of this paper lies in its exploration of the challenges facing the coaching manager and the implications for training managers how to coach.

### SCOPE

There are many different definitions of coaching, but as this paper relates to the context of coaching in organisations, it will use the definition of the Worldwide Association of Business Coaches (2007): 'Business coaching is the process of engaging in meaningful communication with individuals in businesses, organizations, institutions or governments, with the goal of promoting success at all levels of the organization by affecting the actions of those individuals.'

Coaching managers are managers who coach their team members in a work context. The person being coached is referred to as the coachee. Effective coaching, according to Hunt and Weintraub, 'is much more powerful and useful than merely providing feedback to someone with a performance problem' (2002:2). They claim that the coaching manager promotes reflection and learning, encouraging employees to take ownership, to develop and to engage in the organisation for which they work. The coaching manager, also known as the 'manager as coach' or 'leader as coach' may conduct formal coaching sessions or take the opportunity for informal coaching on a daily basis (Hunt and Weintraub 2002; Ellinger, Beattie and Hamlin 2010; Wheeler 2011). Such coaching by managers is increasingly popular, with Clutterbuck (2009) reporting that a majority of organisations surveyed claimed that line managers could be effective or very effective coaches, while in his earlier paper, Clutterbuck (2008) suggested that the trend to equip all managers with coaching and mentoring skills was likely to accelerate, and indeed that some of those managers would develop sufficiently high levels of competence to enable them to provide support to other coaching managers. Although Bresser (2010) sees coaching skills as part of a line manager's normal leadership style, and a natural way of implementing an empowering style of leadership, he argues that line manager should not normally act

as formal coaches. In contrast, Baker-Finch (2011) found that the managers she surveyed were comfortable conducting formal coaching sessions with their staff for which they had prepared in advance but lacked confidence or time to use their coaching skills in everyday conversations with their employees.

### **CHALLENGES OF THE COACHING MANAGER**

Although there is a strong demand for coaching managers, managers may be reluctant or sceptical if they have not been coached themselves (Ladyshevsky 2010). However if they have had a positive experience of being coached, they are more likely to want their team members to experience coaching and to want to develop their own coaching skills (Knights and Poppleton 2007). Managers cannot however be expected to stop leading their people one way and adopt a different way without training and support. While training in coaching skills may be a good first step, it is not sufficient, according to Longenecker (2010). This is supported by Lindbom (2007) who says organisations need to create a culture supportive of coaching to ensure the on-going application and role modelling of coaching skills. The following discussion explores the areas where the coaching manager may face issues which differ from those facing external or internal coaches.

#### **Relationship**

Although the coaching manager lacks the independent perspective of an external coach, they have an advantage in that the context, jargon and performance of the coachees are familiar and they have existing relationships and credibility with the coachee. The coaching manager has an on-going opportunity to observe team members, motivate, challenge and develop them, and give feedback on a continuous basis (Frisch 2001). Given that the relationship between coach and the coachee is not just *a* critical success factor but *the* critical success factor in coaching (Bluckert 2005), the existence of a strong relationship enhances the prospect of success. Coaching in turn enhances the relationship between the coaching manager and their team members.

#### **Listening**

The authentic listening expected in coaching (Dubrin 2005) is likely to enhance the relationship between manager and team member, even if no formal coaching takes place. Scoular (2010) suggests

that listening creates both trust and authenticity. Indeed Arnold (2009) states that for many coachees, listening is the best part of coaching, as their ideas are being heard and valued at a deep level.

Alvesson and Sveningsson (2003) note that although listening is not entirely missing from the leadership literature, it does feature less frequently than one might expect. They claim that the topic being listened to is not important in itself, but that listening makes people feel engaged, interested, included and cared for. As most organisations now have a strong focus on employee engagement, it is important for managers to learn how to listen at a deep level. Although managers may have received some communication training, including active listening and body language, Tyler warns that such training has strayed from Rogers and Farson (1957)'s original conception of active listening:

*Listening has instead been converted into a technique, a trick that disenchants people in organizations by providing a platform that, at its best, placates people into believing that they have been heard. At its worst, this co-opted listening is a tool for manipulating the speaker, rather than a pathway that can lead the listener into the world of the other*

(Tyler 2011:155-6).

Failure to listen, according to Hunt and Weintraub (2002) results in a discussion where participants may become defensive which reduces their potential to learn. By contrast, a coaching manager focuses on the coachee, in a non-judgemental and empathic way, to understand not only the facts but how the other person sees those facts and feels about them, noticing how things are said, as well as the speaker's body language and what is not said (Zeus and Skiffington 2000). In listening, the coaching manager gives the speaker the rare gift of time and attention, helping develop a positive relationship (Tyler 2011).

## **Questions**

Coaching is generally described as non-directive (Cox et al. (2010). This can be a difficult approach to adopt for a manager used to providing solutions (Leimon 2005). However if coaching managers provide a solution before the speaker feels heard, they risk the solution being rejected. Moreover, an existing solution may not be as strong as one that emerges through dialogue. In addition, the person

may keep coming back to the manager for solutions, so in the long run providing solutions may take more rather than less time. The coaching manager has to learn how to ask questions which will prompt the coachee to think, to reflect on their goals and assumptions, to become aware and to grow. Asking powerful questions is a characteristic of mature coaching, along with using ideas of team members and shared decision-making, whereas in earlier stages of developing their coaching skills, managers focus more on performance, feedback and goals, according to Anderson et al. (2009). Through listening to the answers to their questions, coaching managers understand the thinking and motivation of their team members far better than traditional managers (Whitmore 2009a). Questions are also used by coaches to challenge and confront. However, Wheeler (2011) found coaching managers less willing to challenge and confront their team members.

### **Goal-setting**

In many, although not all, coaching theories, there is a strong focus on goal-setting, according to Garvey, Stokes and Megginson (2009). This fits well with the managerial role of setting clear expectations (Yukl 2010). However the coaching manager is unlikely to feel free to explore all the coachee's goals and more likely to feel constrained to focus on work-related goals. Rostron (2009) argues that unless managers' intrinsic drivers are aligned with their personal and professional goals, they will be unable to achieve their targets. Similarly Green and Grant (2003) say that the goals managers set, must fit with the inner needs and values of their team members. In practice however, Garvey et al. (2009) found that goals allowed external coaches to limit conversations to issues acceptable to the organisation paying for the coaching, and that internal coaches were even more controlled by the organisation. Although the goal itself may not be freely chosen, the coaching manager can help people gain clarity about their motivation, aspirations, and commitment to change (Riddle and Ting 2006). The coaching manager can also use coaching techniques to help team members to understand how their personal goals align or do not align with organisational goals, visualise and gain commitment to the set goal, generate options for achieving the goal, and give feedback on progress towards achieving it. A useful approach for coaching managers, according to Ellinger et al. (2010), is the solution-focused approach to coaching, because it is clearly goal-oriented.

Furthermore this approach is sometimes more directive than other coaching approaches, with the coach sharing his mental models and possibly directing their clients towards solutions (Cavanagh and Grant 2010). Coaching managers however need to be careful that coachees are not buying into goals simply to please their manager (Riddle and Ting 2006).

### **Feedback**

The pressures of the workplace can mean people get distracted from goals by issues which appear urgent (Longenecker and Neubert 2005). On-going coaching conversations help people differentiate between what is urgent and what is important, and to keep on track more effectively than through an annual or bi-annual performance review or periodic conversations with an external coach. For these coaching conversations to be useful, managers need to be able to give feedback constructively.

Managers who have themselves been coached, develop new mental models of how to give feedback effectively (Steelman, Levy and Snell 2004). In fact, coaching often begins by helping people accept feedback, especially where there is a gap between how people see themselves and how other people see their actions (Folkman 2006). As McDowall and Millward (2010) note, research on the degree to which feedback contributes to performance has been mixed, however the improvement is more marked where feedback is followed up with goal setting through coaching. While feedback on past performance is valuable, a future focus or so-called 'feedforward' is a useful way for managers to highlight the strengths of team members and indicate how they might improve in the future (Goldsmith 2006; McDowall and Millward 2010).

### **Coach Matching**

The current popularity of coaching in organisations means that managers may be forced into coaching and their team members may have no choice but to be coached. If either party is unwilling, coaching cannot be successful. For the external coach, considerable effort is spent in matching coach and coachee. Wycherley and Cox (2008) suggest that while coach matching based on factors such as gender or culture may initially impact on rapport in the coaching relationship, such factors become less important as trust is developed. O'Broin and Palmer (2010) found wide support in the coaching and coaching psychology literature for the importance of trust in coaching. Ladyshewsky (2010) reiterates this in the context of the coaching manager. As managers have an existing relationship with



their team members, trust may already be in place. If so, the question of coach matching may not be an issue. If trust is not in place, or if a team member does not want to be coached by their manager, then coaching cannot be effective (Ellinger et al. 2010).

### **Power**

The issue of power in the coaching manager's relationship cannot be ignored. After all, the manager has influence over their team members' remuneration, recognition, and opportunities for development and hence the coachee may speak less freely than with a coach not in a line manager relationship (Bresser 2010; Whitmore 2009a). Coaching starts with an assumption of equality (Rostron 2009) which is not the case where a manager is coaching team members. The assumption of equality has been challenged by Welman and Bachkirova (2010) who argue that issues of power are always present in a coaching relationship, whether or not the coach or the coachee is aware of them. Hawkins and Smith (2006) highlight the risk that in trying to facilitate change, coaches may force a coachee "to do or be something that is not 'them'" (p.6). Although the coaching manager cannot create conditions of equality where none exist, if employees have been properly selected and share some goals with the firm, then 'the coaching manager can share responsibility for development with the employee' (Hunt and Weintraub 2002:6). The relationship with coaching managers places less stress on the positional power or status of the manager and more on the manager's willingness to listen to and accept the ideas of the employee.

### **Confidentiality**

A further issue for the coaching manager is that of confidentiality. In a formal coaching conversation, people may reveal more than they would in other conversations with their line manager. This is in response to the coach's enhanced listening skills, his/her ability to ask powerful questions and ability to create a safe place for the coachee to think out loud. The assumption of a safe place implies that whatever is said will not be used against the coachee, which may at times be difficult for the coaching manager (Riddle and Ting 2006). Confidentiality is fundamental to the success of coaching (Garvey et al. 2009) but it is unrealistic to expect the same level of confidentiality as in formal coaching relationships according to Anderson et al. (2009). Indeed, Connor and Pokora (2007) suggest that it would be unwise for coaches to give a guarantee of total confidentiality, instead the coach and

coachee should agree the level of confidentiality to be provided. The coaching manager should explicitly discuss confidentiality with their team members in advance and pause a conversation to refer to that discussion, if they sense that the team member may later regret their openness. If the coaching manager hears about an issue which requires the involvement of someone else in the organisation, the coaching manager should agree with the coachee how best to proceed, preferably with the coachee taking responsibility for involving the other person, or if not, giving their permission for the coach to involve another person. Only where there is a legal obligation or duty of care, for example if the coachee were at risk of self-harm, can the duty of confidentiality be over-ridden

### **Role Switching**

Although coaching may be a manager's predominant approach, there may also be times when instead he/she adopts a role of teaching, training, mentoring or consulting, in other words, roles which require more giving of information, instruction and advice, unlike the non-directive role of coaching (Ellinger et al. 2010). All these roles have something in common in that all of them seek to help someone improve their performance by learning something new. Furthermore, they may draw on some of the same skills, so that the distinction relates more to where on the continuum the different activities fit, rather than the roles being complete opposites (Clutterbuck 2008; Lawton-Smith and Cox 2007).

However in broad terms, we can position each as follows:

- Training is typically skills-based and has pre-defined answers.
- In mentoring, someone experienced in a particular role or situation gives advice.
- In consulting, the client is given solutions to their problem.

Both manager and team members need to know what role the manager is adopting at any given point in time. Riddle and Ting (2006) warn that coaching managers may experience role conflict as their relationship with the coachee will shift, depending on the role they are adopting at a particular time. Some authors e.g. Bresser (2010) and Hicks and McCracken (2010), suggest that managers should signal the shift between roles explicitly, e.g. 'let me put my coaching hat back on'. Whitmore (2009a) suggests that the choice of role depends on the situation, for example, in a crisis or other situation where time is of the essence, then a directive style may be best, while in other circumstances where

the aim is to enhance quality and/or learning, the optimal approach is coaching. Further research is needed to identify the contexts in which coaching is most effective for both individuals and groups.

### **Team Coaching**

While the commonest form of coaching for full-time coaches is one-on-one coaching, group coaching is increasing in popularity, partly because of a recognition that group coaching can be a powerful complement to one-on-one coaching (Brown and Grant 2010), and partly because of the benefits associated with coaching teams (Kets de Vries 2005). However Hawkins (2011) warns that there is a limit to what can be achieved through coaching individuals. Team coaching is the best way to develop social intelligence, according to Thornton (2010). Mathieu, Maynard, Rapp and Gilson (2008) suggest that coaching teams can have a positive effect on self-management, team empowerment and several other factors which contribute to team effectiveness, but highlight the need for further research to explore whether in fact team leaders can be effective team coaches. One of the rare longitudinal studies of managers coaching teams (Shipper and Weer 2011) found that positive coaching enhanced commitment and reduced tensions, and led to increased team effectiveness. The next section looks at the implications for training in coaching for managers.

## **IMPLICATIONS FOR TRAINING COACHING MANAGERS**

Given the increasingly common expectation that managers can and will coach their employees, it is clear that managers need to be trained to do so. This is often not the case, according to Lindbom (2007). When managers do get some training in coaching, it is often in the same training programs that full-time coaches attend, with no consideration of the different applications of coaching by the coaching manager. These short courses typically train participants either in a proprietary model or a publicly available single coaching model like Grow (Goals, Reality, Options and Wrap Up), a model widely attributed to John Whitmore, although he has publicly stated that he was the first to publish it rather than its author (Whitmore 2009b). Reliance on a single coaching model has been criticised by Megginson and Clutterbuck (2009), who warn of dangers such as mechanistic coaching, missing critical clues to the client context, and manipulating the client to fit the coach's agenda. Connor and

Pokora (2007) also warn that a coaching framework should not be used to limit exploration, but rather used to set a general direction and set aside where appropriate.

While novice coaches may find it useful to have a framework of some kind if they are not sure where to go next in a conversation, an alternative approach is to listen to their coachee very carefully, encouraging them to say more about the issue under discussion, which often generates new insights and actions. As far back as 1994, Proctor observed that ‘Corporate America’s preoccupation with communications is still directed for the most part toward the improvement of writing and speaking’ (Proctor 1994:18). Training managers to focus on the person they are coaching, using coaching skills such as listening, asking questions, setting goals, observation, and giving feedback, may prove a more useful approach than training them in a coaching framework where they move in a strictly linear fashion, e.g. from goals, to reality, to options and implementation, without the mindset or skills to apply it. The emphasis in training managers to coach should be on the manager being authentic and genuine in their coaching, on reflection and self-awareness, and on developing a common understanding in their organisation of the potential for dual role conflict and the ethical issues which may arise. Ladyshevsky (2010) adds that training must go beyond coaching skills to include emotional intelligence, building trust, understanding values, and exploring managers’ perspectives on their role in the development of their staff. The new Australian guidelines on coaching in organisations stress that coaching requires a complex set of skills and that ‘short manager as coach training courses’ cannot impart these skills with the level of complexity and sophistication needed to provide an organization with a complete internal coaching capability’ (SAI 2011 p.26). If managers are expected not only to coach individuals but also to coach their team as a whole, they need training in group dynamics and team coaching in order to fulfil this role effectively (Thornton 2010). Those who train them also need to be competent to do so, and as Grant (2008) warns, coach trainers in Australia and elsewhere do not require any qualifications in order to train others.

Purchasers of coach training in organisations need to be clear about their requirements and on the differences between training managers as coaches and training full-time coaches, and also on the difference between business coaching and life coaching. McCarthy (2010) explains how the

University of Wollongong integrates professional and academic coaching research with business theory in the Master of Business Coaching which is targeted at the application of coaching in a business context, while other coaching degrees focus more on coaching psychology and coaching skills for application in a broad range of contexts, including both life coaching and coaching in organisations. In the emerging academic discipline of coaching and related education and training, it is just as much a case of 'buyer beware' as it is in the case of those purchasing coaching services (Coutu and Kaufmann 2009).

Companies also need to be aware that it takes time to embed coaching in the daily routine, and that support is needed during this phase (Grant 2010). Coaching has been found to greatly increase the application in the workplace of what is learned in a training program (Geissler 2009; Olivero, Bane and Kopelman 1997). There is no reason to suppose this would be any different when the skills developed in the training program are themselves coaching skills. Companies should therefore have a strategy to ensure that managers will have the confidence and support to apply their skills when they return to work. Such strategies may include providing work-based learning opportunities and support, such as managers themselves being coached, mentored or having coaching supervision (Stewart and Palmer 2009). Scamardo and Harnden (2008) state that the benefits of such support groups for managerial coaches are more than sharing of ideas and approaches, they also help managers feel more confident, less isolated, pride in helping others and provide role models and networks. As our understanding of coaching is still evolving, few companies yet have approaches in place to prevent line managers slipping back into their old non-coaching behaviours or provide supervision for internal coaches (Clutterbuck 2009). However, companies with more mature coaching cultures do provide a range of mechanisms such as communities of practice, peer supervision and support from human resources or learning development professionals (Ali, Lewis and McAdams 2010; Grant 2010).

When developing a coaching culture, companies should ensure they start with creditable role models who have had positive coaching experiences themselves and who have received some training as coaches (Lindbom 2007). This group can be expanded over time both through identifying and training people in-house and by included coaching aptitude in recruitment and selection processes. Building

up a cadre of internal coaches provides a strong in-house resource which can support managers in applying and improving their coaching skills (Ali et al. 2010) and reaping the putative benefits in terms of enhanced employee engagement, performance and key business outcomes.

## CONCLUSION

Coaching skills are becoming part of a manager's toolkit, Through regular coaching conversations, the coaching manager can have considerable impact on developing trust, awareness, responsibility and learning and ultimately on engagement and performance. As indicated earlier, coaching has great potential as a way for managers to implement many of the demands made on their leadership. For this to happen, however, managers need training not only in coaching skills but in understanding how to use coaching in their role and in their organisation, and how to address the challenges listed above. On-going support, in the form of individual, group or peer coaching, mentoring, supervision and/or communities of practice, will help embed a coaching approach, contribute to the development of a supportive coaching culture, and ensure the investment in coaching training generates the desired return.

More research is needed by both academics and practitioners on the experience of coaching managers and their coachees, on how coaching impacts a manager's leadership style, when and how to modify generic coaching skills for use by coaching managers, and on which coaching skills and models are most effective for coaching managers. This evidence base can then underpin the further development of appropriate education, training and development for coaching managers.

## References

- Ali Y, Lewis, N and McAdams, KC (2010) Case study: building an internal coaching capacity at the American Cancer Society coach cadre model, *Industrial and Commercial Training* 42(5): 240-246.
- Alvesson M and Sveningsson S (2003) Managers Doing Leadership: The Extra-Ordinarization of the Mundane , *Human Relations* 56(12): 1435-1459.
- Anderson V, Rayner C and Schyns B (2009) *Coaching at the sharp end: the role of line managers in coaching at work*, CIPD, London.
- Arnold J (2009) *Coaching skills for leaders in the workplace*, How to Books, Oxford.
- Baker-Finch S (2011) *Does training in coaching skills develop coaching managers?* Unpublished Master of Business Coaching Report. Sydney Business School, University of Wollongong.
- Bluckert P (2005) Critical factors in executive coaching - the coaching relationship. *Industrial and Commercial Training* 37(6/7): 336.
- Bresser F (2010) *The global business guide for the successful use of coaching in organizations*, Frank Bresser Publishing, Cologne.
- Bresser F (2011) Suits you, *Coaching at Work* 6(2):42-45.
- Brown SW and Grant AM (2010) From GROW to GROUP: theoretical issues and a practical model for group coaching in organisations., *Coaching: An International Journal of Theory, Research and Practice* 3(1): 30-45.
- Cavanagh MJ and Grant AM (2010). The solution-focused approach to coaching, in Cox E, Bachkirova T and Clutterbuck D (Eds) *Complete handbook of coaching*, pp54-67 Sage, London.
- CIPD (2009) *Taking the temperature of coaching* CIPD, London .
- Clutterbuck D (2009) The use of internal resources for coaching and mentoring. *Global Focus The EFMD Business Magazine* 3 Issue 3 Special Supplement The role of corporate coaching in business )3-6.
- Clutterbuck D (2008) What's happening in coaching and mentoring? And what is the difference between them, *Development and Learning in Organizations* 22(4):8-10.
- Connor M and Pokora J (2007) *Coaching and mentoring at work: developing effective practice*, Open University Press, Maidenhead.
- Coutu D and Kauffman C (2009) What can coaches do for you? *Harvard Business Review* Jan. 2009 pp. 91-97.
- Cox E, Bachkirova T and Clutterbuck D (Eds) (2010) *The complete handbook of coaching* Sage, London.
- Dubrin AJ (2005). *Coaching and mentoring skills*. Pearson/Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ.
- Ellinger AD, Beattie RS and Hamlin RG (2010). The 'manager as coach', in Cox E, Bachkirova T and Clutterbuck D (Eds) *The Complete Handbook of Coaching* , pp257-270, Sage, London.
- Ellinger AD, Ellinger AE, Bachrach DG, Wang Y-L and Bas, ABE (2011) Organizational investments in social capital, managerial coaching, and employee work-related performance. *Management Learning* 42(1): 67-85.
- Folkman J (2006) Coaching others to accept feedback, in Goldsmith M and Lyons L *Coaching for leadership*, pp71-76 Wiley Hoboken, NJ.
- Frisch MH (2001) The emerging role of the internal coach. *Consulting Psychology Journal: Practice and Research* 53(4): 240-250.
- Garvey R, Stokes P and Megginson D (2009) *Coaching and mentoring theory and practice*. Sage, London.
- Geissler H (2009) Cost-benefit estimation of virtual transfer coaching. *Virtual Coaching Newsletter* (12): 1-2.
- Goldsmith M (2006) Try feedforward instead of feedback, in. Goldsmith M and Lyons L *Coaching for leadership*, Pfeiffer, San Francisco, CA.

- Grant AM (2010). It takes time: a stages of change perspective on the adoption of workplace coaching skills. *Journal of Change Management* 10(1): 61-77.
- Grant AM (2008) Coaching in Australia: a view from the ivory tower. *Coaching: An International Journal of Theory, Research and Practice* 1 (1): 93 — 98.
- Green J and Grant AM (2003). *Solution-focused coaching: managing people in a complex world*, Momentum Press, London
- Hagen M (2010). The wisdom of the coach: a review of managerial coaching in the Six Sigma context. *Total Quality Management & Business Excellence* 21(8): 791-798.
- Haug M (2011). What is the relationship between coaching interventions and team effectiveness? *International Journal of Evidence Based Coaching and Mentoring*, Special Issue 5: 89-101
- Hawkins P (2011). *Leadership team coaching*, Routledge, London.
- Hawkins P and Smith N (2006) *Coaching, mentoring and organizational consultancy : supervision and development*, Open University Press, Maidenhead.
- Hicks RP and McCracken JP (2010) Three Hats of a Leader: Coaching, Mentoring and Teaching, *Physician Executive* 36(6): 68.
- Hunt JM and Weintraub JR (2002) *The coaching manager*, Sage, Thousand Oaks, CA.
- Kets De Vries MF (2005) Leadership group coaching in action: The Zen of creating high performance teams, *Academy of Management Executive* 19(1):61-76.
- Kinicki AJ, Jacobson KJL, Galvin, BM and Prussia GE (2011) A Multilevel Systems Model of Leadership, *Journal of Leadership & Organizational Studies* 18(2): 133-149.
- Knights A and Poppleton A (2007) *Coaching in organisations*, CIPD, London.
- Ladyshevsky RK (2010) The manager as coach as a driver of organizational development, *Leadership & Organization Development Journal* 31(4): 292-306.
- Lawton-Smith C and Cox E (2007) Coaching: is it just a new name for training, *International Journal of Evidence-Based Coaching and Mentoring* Special Issue Summer: 1-9. Available at <http://www.business.brookes.ac.uk/research/areas/coachingandmentoring/view.asp?issue=special1>
- Lebihan R (2011 ) Business schools tap coaching trend, *Australian Financial Review*(14 Feb.).
- Leimon A (2005). *Essential business coaching*, Routledge, Hove.
- Lindbom D (2007) A Culture of Coaching: The Challenge of Managing Performance for Long-Term Results, *Organization Development Journal* 25(2): 101-106.
- Longenecker CO (2010) Coaching for better results: key practices of high performance leaders, *Industrial and Commercial Training* 42(1): 32-40.
- Longenecker CO and Neubert MJ (2005) The practices of effective managerial coaches, *Business Horizons* 48(6): 493-500.
- Mathieu J, Maynard MT, Rapp T and Gilson L (2008) Team Effectiveness 1997-2007: A Review of Recent Advancements and a Glimpse Into the Future, *Journal of Management* 34(3): 410-476.
- McCarthy G (2010). Approaches to the postgraduate education of business coaching, *Australian Journal of Adult Learning* 50(2):323-356.
- McDowall A and Millward L (2010) Feeding back, feeding forward and setting goals, in Palmer S and McDowall A (Eds) *The coaching relationship: Putting people first*, pp55-78 Routledge, Hove.
- Megginson D and Clutterbuck D (Eds). (2009) *Further techniques for coaching and mentoring.*, Butterworth, Oxford.
- O'Broin A and Palmer S (2010) Introducing an interpersonal perspective on the coaching relationship, in Palmer S and McDowall A (Eds) *The coaching relationship: putting people first*, pp9-33 Routledge, Hove.
- Olivero G, Bane KD and Kopelman RE (1997) Executive coaching as a transfer of training tool: effects on productivity in a public agency, *Public Personnel Management* 26(4): 461-469.
- Proctor J (1994) You haven't heard a word I say: getting managers to listen, *IEEE Transactions on Professional Communication* 37(1): 18-20
- Riddle D and Ting S (2006) Leader coaches: principles and issues for in-house development. *Leadership in Action* 26(2): 13-18.



- Rogers, CR and Farson R (1957) Active listening. In: Newman RG, Danziger MA and Cohen M (Eds) (1987) *Communication in Business Today*. Heath, Washington, D.C.
- Rostron SS (2009) *Business coaching international*, Karnac, London
- SAI (2011) *Coaching in Organizations Handbook*, SAI Global. HB332-2011.
- Scamardo M and Harnden SC (2008) A Manager Coaching Group Model, *Journal of Workplace Behavioral Health* 22(2): 127-143.
- Scoular A (2010) *Business coaching*, Financial Times, London.
- Shipper F and Weer C (2011) A Longitudinal Investigation of the Impact of Positive and Negative Coaching on Team Effectiveness, *2011 Academy of Management Annual Meeting*. San Antonio, Texas.
- Steelman LA, Levy PE and Snell AF (2004) The Feedback Environment Scale: Construct Definition, Measurement, and Validation. *Educational and Psychological Measurement* 64(1): 165-184.
- Stewart LJ and Palmer S (2009). Capitalizing on coaching investment: enhancing coaching transfer. *Development and Learning in Organizations* 23(3): 14-17.
- Thornton C (2010) *Group and team coaching*, Routledge, Hove.
- Tyler JA (2011) Reclaiming rare listening as a means of organizational re-enchantment, *Journal of Organizational Change Management* 24(1): 143-157.
- Welman, P. and T. Bachkikova (2010). Power in the coaching relationship, in Palmer S and McDowall A (Eds) *The coaching relationship: Putting people first*, pp139-158 Routledge Hove.
- Wheeler L (2011) How does the adoption of coaching behaviours by line managers contribute to the achievement of organisational goals? *International Journal of Evidence Based Coaching and Mentoring* 9(1): 1-15.
- Whitmore J (2009a) *Business coaching international*, *Coaching: An International Journal of Theory, Research and Practice* 2(2): 176-179.
- Whitmore J. (2009b) *Coaching for performance*, Nicholas Brealey, London.
- Wycherley IM and Cox E (2008) Factors in the selection and matching of executive coaches in organisations, *Coaching: An International Journal of Theory, Research and Practice* 1(1): 39-53.
- Yukl G (2010). *Leadership in organizations*, 7<sup>th</sup> ed. Prentice-Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ.
- Zeus P and Skiffington S (2000) *Complete guide to coaching at work*, McGraw-Hill, Sydney.